**Social media pro/con presentation rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Exemplary | Proficient | Below standard | Did not demonstrate | Total points |
| Delivery | Delivery is clear and fluid, using vocal variety, and eye contact and lacking in verbal crutches. Fits within allotted time. | Delivery is clear and fluid. Students make attempt at eye contact. Fits within allotted time. | Delivery is rushed or monotone. Goes well over or under time allotted. | Group is unprepared for presentation. |  |
| Organization | Presentation is well organized, easy to understand and uses sign posting. | Presentation is easy to understand and follows logical progression. | Presentation lacks useful signposting or organization. | Presentation has no logical flow or organization. |  |
| Content | Group provides three sources of evidence relating to their question. Group uses and cites reliable sources. Group specifically presents their overall findings as a pro or con related to the central question. | Group provides two sources of evidence relating to their question. Sources are provided and reliable. Group presents findings as related to central question. | Group provides one source of evidence or provides multiple sources that are not established as reliable. | Group does not connect findings to central question and does not use any reliable sources. |  |
| Collaboration | All individual members demonstrate equal contributions to presentation. |  |  | Only some members participate. |  |
| Total points |  |  |  |  | \_\_\_/ points |