**Live Coverage Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Exemplary | Proficient | Below standard | Did not demonstrate | Total points |
| **Selection of coverage solution(s)** | Coverage solution was apt and well-explained. | Choice of coverage solution makes sense, but justification was underdeveloped. | Some reasoning behind coverage method is apparent, but it may not have been the *best* choice. |  |  |
| **Journalistic professionalism** | Few if any lapses in professionalism occurred during live coverage. Professional quality. | Some lapses in professionalism occurred during live coverage, but coverage was overall professional. | Several lapses in professionalism occurred during live coverage. |  |  |
| **Depth/thoroughness of coverage** | Team used live coverage tool to its maximum capability, giving the reader thorough, well-balanced coverage. | The live coverage tool was used well, but coverage may not have been consistent or as thorough as possible. | The live coverage tool was not used to its maximum capability. Team could have done more in preparation for or during the event. |  |  |
| **Presentation** | Group presentation was engaging, compelling and very thorough. | Group presentation was thorough and well-organized. | Group presentation was missing one or more key components. |  |  |
| Total points |  |  |  |  | \_\_\_/ points |